

Attorney Docket No. 010109C1

REMARKS

Claims 1 – 4 are presented for examination. In the Office Action mailed on January 25, 2005, the Examiner rejected Claims 1 – 4 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 13 and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,631,139.

In order to obviate this nonstatutory double patenting rejection, the Applicants submit a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) with this response.

CONCLUSION

In light of the submission of the terminal disclaimer, the Applicants respectfully submit that the instant claims are patentable. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of this Application is earnestly solicited. Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 22, 2005By: 

Kyong Macek
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 42,977

QUALCOMM Incorporated
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, California 92121-2779
Telephone: (858) 651-5797
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502